IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI WESTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
	Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Criminal Action No.
)	05-00145-01-CR-W-FJG
RANDY COLLIER,)	
)	
	Defendant.)	

AMENDED REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On March 25, 2005, counsel for defendant Collier filed a motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 4241 for a determination of the mental competency of the defendant to stand trial. That motion was granted and, prior to holding a hearing to determine the mental competency of the defendant, the Court entered its Order pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 4241(b) directing that a psychological or psychiatric examination of the defendant be conducted and that a psychological or psychiatric report be filed with the Court pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 4247(b) and (c).

The Court has received the psychological or psychiatric report of Dr. John Matthew Fabian which concluded that the defendant is competent to understand the nature and consequence of the proceedings against him and to assist properly in his defense. This report was provided to and reviewed by counsel for the government and counsel for the defendant. On July 14, 2005, a hearing was held pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 4247(d) for the purpose of determining the mental competency of the defendant to stand trial. At this hearing, Dr. John Matthew Fabian testified via telephone as a witness for the defendant. At the continued mental competency hearing held on July 22, 2005, the defendant introduced into evidence the report of Dr. John A.

Pindelski. Counsel for the government and counsel for the defendant stipulated that the Court

could consider the psychological or psychiatric report of Dr. John A. Pindelski as if Dr. Pindelski

had appeared in person and testified under oath. No additional evidence was offered by the

government or by the defendant.

Based on the record before the Court, the testimony of Dr. John Matthew Fabian and the

findings of Dr. John A. Pindelski, it is

RECOMMENDED that the District Court, after conducting its own independent review

of the record, find that defendant Collier is competent to understand the nature and consequence

of the proceedings against him and to assist properly in his defense.

Counsel are reminded that each has 10 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this

Amended Report and Recommendation to file and serve specific objections to the same. A

failure to file and serve timely objections shall bar attack on appeal of the factual findings in this

Report which are accepted or adopted by the District Judge except upon the ground of plain error

or manifest injustice.

/s/ JOHN T. MAUGHMER

JOHN T. MAUGHMER

Chief United States Magistrate Judge

Kansas City, Missouri

2